




## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<b>(51) International Patent Classification <sup>5</sup> :</b> C12N 5/00, 5/02, 5/04 C12N 15/29, A01H 4/00	<b>A1</b>	<b>(11) International Publication Number:</b> <b>WO 92/09685</b> <b>(43) International Publication Date:</b> 11 June 1992 (11.06.92)
<b>(21) International Application Number:</b> PCT/AU91/00556 <b>(22) International Filing Date:</b> 29 November 1991 (29.11.91) <b>(30) Priority data:</b> PK 3584 29 November 1990 (29.11.90) AU <b>(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US):</b> THE AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY [AU/AU]; Acton, ACT 2601 (AU). <b>(72) Inventor; and</b> <b>(75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only) :</b> JOHN, Peter, Crook, Lloyd [AU/AU]; 150 Hawkesbury Crescent, Farrer, ACT 2607 (AU). <b>(74) Agents:</b> SLATTERY, John, Michael et al.; Davies Collison Cave, 1 Little Collins Street, Melbourne, VIC 3000 (AU).		<b>(81) Designated States:</b> AT (European patent), AU, BE (European patent), CA, CH (European patent), DE (European patent), DK (European patent), ES (European patent), FR (European patent), GB (European patent), GR (European patent), IT (European patent), JP, LU (European patent), NL (European patent), SE (European patent), US.  <b>Published</b> <i>With international search report.</i>

**(54) Title:** CONTROL OF PLANT CELL PROLIFERATION AND GROWTH



**(57) Abstract**

The present invention is directed to a method for controlling plant cell growth comprising modulating the level of a cell cycle control protein in said plant cell for a time and under conditions sufficient to control cell division. Preferably, the cell cycle protein is p34<sup>cdc2</sup> or like molecule and the plant is a monocotyledonous plant or dicotyledonous plant.

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	ES	Spain	MC	Madagascar
AU	Australia	FI	Finland	ML	Mali
BB	Barbados	FR	France	MN	Mongolia
BE	Belgium	GA	Gabon	MR	Mauritania
BF	Burkina Faso	GB	United Kingdom	MW	Malawi
BG	Bulgaria	GN	Guinea	NL	Netherlands
BJ	Benin	GR	Greece	NO	Norway
BR	Brazil	HU	Hungary	PL	Poland
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	RO	Romania
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland	KR	Republic of Korea	SN	Senegal
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LI	Liechtenstein	SU*	Soviet Union
CM	Cameroon	LK	Sri Lanka	TD	Chad
CS	Czechoslovakia	LU	Luxembourg	TC	Togo
DE*	Germany	MC	Monaco	US	United States of America
DK	Denmark				

+ Any designation of "SU" has effect in the Russian Federation. It is not yet known whether any such designation has effect in other States of the former Soviet Union.

## CONTROL OF PLANT CELL PROLIFERATION AND GROWTH

5 The present invention relates generally to a method for controlling plant cell growth. More particularly, the present invention is directed to controlling plant cell proliferation and differentiation by modulating the levels of a cell cycle control protein or modulating its activity by altering the levels of enzymes that act upon it.

10 The formation of a plant involves the generation of new cells by the division cycle and development in these of specialised structure and metabolism. Specialisation is accompanied by a decreasing capacity for division which declines with particular rapidity in cells of monocotyledonous plants, such as cereals.

15

In yeast, cdc2 gene function is necessary for progress through the two major control points at which the cell cycle can be delayed until the requirements of cell size and nutrition are met (1; 2). The control of these points is effected by the interaction of the cdc2 gene product, p34<sup>cdc2</sup>, with stimulatory and  
20 inhibitory elements (3; 4).

The possible contribution of changing p34<sup>cdc2</sup> level to control of cell division during development has been little studied in any organism.

25 In accordance with the present invention, it has now been discovered that in the leaves of a cereal plant, a homologue of p34<sup>cdc2</sup> participates in the control of cell division and development. Modulation of expression and/or activity of this protein will enable control of cell proliferation and differentiation and facilitate such processes as plant regeneration and development.

30

Accordingly, one aspect of the present invention contemplates a method for controlling plant cell growth comprising modulating the level and/or catalytic activity of a cell cycle control protein in said plant for a time and under  
5 conditions sufficient to modify or control cell division.

In accordance with this aspect of the present invention, "plant cell" means any cell existing in culture as a single cell or a group of cells or as a callus. The cells may also be in a developing plant in culture or may be in a plant growing  
10 in nature. The plant cell may be naturally occurring or isolated from a plant or may be a recombinant, mutant or otherwise derivatized plant cell or group of cells. The plant may be either dicotyledonous or monocotyledonous and in the latter case, the cell cycle genes may be additionally used in an initial phase that aids regeneration from protoplast cells. This additional technique  
15 to aid resumption of division will be particularly valuable when applied to wheat, barley, oats, maize, rice and other like crops.

For the monocotyledonous plants the present invention has a double advantage: (1) making regeneration from protoplasts easier after the  
20 introduction of any beneficial gene; and (2) the addition of cell cycle genes to the plant's genotype. For dicotyledonous plants, advantage (2) particularly applies, since they are already easily regenerable.

Preferably, the cell cycle control protein is p34<sup>cdc2</sup> including its derivatives,  
25 homologues and functional analogues. This protein may be homologous to the plant cell being controlled, i.e. is naturally occurring in said cell or may be heterologous to the cell, i.e. the protein or its genetic sequences may be introduced into the cell from a source not originating from the same plant. For example, the control protein may be from another plant or may be from  
30 another eukaryotic cell, such as a yeast cell. The p34<sup>cdc2</sup> protein or like molecule may also be a hybrid of molecules and/or be encoded by a hybrid genetic sequence. Use herein of the term "p34<sup>cdc2</sup> or like molecule"

encompasses all such homologous or heterologous derivatives, homologues and functional analogues. The present invention extends to other cell cycle control proteins, so termed since they function similarly to p34<sup>cdc2</sup> or control p34<sup>cdc2</sup> activity. Such proteins include p13<sup>suc1</sup>, cyclin, cdc25, and the products of nim-1,  
5 wee-1 and mik-1 or combinations thereof, separately or together with p34<sup>cdc2</sup>.

- By "derivatives" of p34<sup>cdc2</sup> is meant any protein molecule having an amino acid sequence which differs by at least one amino acid compared to the sequence in the naturally occurring molecule before derivatisation. Additionally, the term  
10 refers to recombinant or synthetic molecules and molecules carrying single or multiple amino acid substitutions, deletions and/or additions or any substitution, deletion and/or addition of an associated molecule such as a carbohydrate or lipid moiety.
- 15 Conveniently, the level of p34<sup>cdc2</sup> may be controlled at the genetic level by manipulating the cdc2 gene promoter (or its equivalent). For example, a different promoter may be inserted which can be developmentally regulated or regulated by some other means. Use of a different promoter may provide an opportunity for enhanced expression and/or greater control of expression.
- 20 Alternatively, multiple copies of a homologous or heterologous cdc2 gene under suitable control may be inserted to increase the level of expression. It would also be possible to manipulate control genes or genetic sites which assist in or regulate expression of the cdc2 gene. One skilled in the art will immediately recognise the variety of means to control expression of a  
25 homologous and/or heterologous cdc2 gene in vivo such as the use of antisense or ribozyme agents to reduce expression of inhibitory genes and all such means are encompassed within the scope of the present invention. For example, the cell cycle protein, such as p34<sup>cdc2</sup> may be controlled at the level of activity of enzymes which act upon the cell cycle protein.

- The p34<sup>cdc2</sup> levels may also be controlled at the protein level. Such a control is more applicable to growth of cells *in vitro* but the present invention is not necessarily so limited. In accordance with this aspect of the present invention,
- 5 the cell cycle control protein, for example, is added to a culture and permeated into the cells by various procedures such as electroporation. Purification of the protein into a suitable form as been described (12). An amount is used for a time and under conditions sufficient to modulate cell growth.
- 10 In relation to the method for controlling plant growth, this may involve the stable integration of cell cycle genes into the plant genome under the control of promoters that can be expressed at appropriate location and times, such as in early seed development and at meristems. This may be done in dicotyledonous crop plants by conventional methods of transformation, such as
- 15 with Agrobacterium. It may also be done in monocotyledonous plants using published procedures such as biolistic microprojectile bombardment to introduce genes into cells derived from embryogenic callus followed by regeneration.
- 20 Another aspect of the invention is to use cell cycle genes to increase the number of monocotyledonous plants that can be transformed and the ease with which any monocotyledonous plant can be transformed, by making it unnecessary to carry out prolonged suspension culture.
- 25 Depending on the plant cells involved, the cell membrane may have to be treated and/or the protein itself may have to be derivatised in order to facilitate entry into the target cell. Alternatively, inhibitors, antagonists or agonists of the activity of the cycle control protein may be used. Furthermore, a combination of such procedures may also be employed. In one particular
- 30 embodiment, p34<sup>cdc2</sup> is used in combination with an auxin.

In accordance with the present invention, therefore, it has been discovered that the amount of p34<sup>cdc2</sup> is limiting for cell division in plant tissue. When cessation of division for development of specialised functions is required, this  
5 is determined by the decline to low levels of this key cell cycle control protein. In tissues that are able to resume cell division, this protein is induced prior to division (12). Furthermore, the present inventors have discovered that plant p34<sup>cdc2</sup> interacts with a regulatory subunit p13<sup>suc1</sup> from fission yeast which plays a part in controlling the activity of yeast p34<sup>cdc2</sup>. A plant homologue of p13<sup>suc1</sup>  
10 has now been found. The function of p13<sup>suc1</sup> is necessary for completion of mitosis in fission yeast. The fact that the plant p34<sup>cdc2</sup> protein associates with one regulatory subunit implies that the other regulatory interactions identified genetically in yeasts may operate in plants and that the regulatory genes, taken initially from yeasts, could be used to control plant cell division. Accordingly,  
15 the present invention extends not only to the direct control of p34<sup>cdc2</sup> levels but also to the control of regulatory elements such as p13<sup>suc1</sup>, wee-1, mik-1, nim-1 and cdc25 which indirectly result in modulation of p34<sup>cdc2</sup> activity.

The present invention will be particularly useful in the regeneration of plants.  
20 The mature cells of wheat leaf, for example, yield robust protoplasts that are most suitable for the introduction of DNA but they are notoriously difficult to regenerate into plants. It has now been discovered that these cells have low levels of p34<sup>cdc2</sup> and are not capable of increasing these levels. This appears to be a fundamental difference in organisation between monocotyledonous plants  
25 and dicotyledonous plants. In the latter group, growth is apical and wounding results in the generation of new meristem cells capable of restoring new tissue. In the monocotyledonous plants, however, there are no terminal meristems and growth is by the continued division of basal meristems. Wounding does not result in the establishment of localised meristems. Accordingly, by elevating  
30 levels of p34<sup>cdc2</sup> regeneration into plants of single or groups of cells can be facilitated.

A particular application is the influence of division activity in transformed monocotyledonous protoplasts to enhance their division when the cells have not previously undergone prolonged propagation in suspension culture. For this purpose the influence on division need only be transient and

5 nonintegrative genetic transformation, or introduction of the protein, would be suitable. This use would make it easier to introduce any beneficial gene into monocotyledonous plants.

The use of cell cycle proteins or genetic sequences encoding same to facilitate

10 resumption of division after protoplasting of cells will allow the introduction into monocotyledonous plants of genes that re to be stably integrated into the genome. The introduced genes include those conferring improvements in increased temperature range for growth, disease resistance, water utilisation, grain size and growth under adverse conditions.

15

With reference to the latter, the present invention also contemplates a method for modifying plant growth behaviour in the presence of one or more environmental conditions, said method comprising modulating the level of a cell cycle protein in one or more plant cells capable of division in said plant

20 for a time and under conditions sufficient for said plant to modify its growth. Preferably, the plant is a monocotyledonous plant such as wheat, barley, oats, maize, rice or other like crop and the cell cycle protein is p34<sup>cdc2</sup> or like molecule. The term "environmental condition" is used in its broadest sense to include such conditions as growth under increased or decreased temperatures,

25 exposure to disease and excess or insufficiency of water or other nutrient.

In connection with grain size and growth, genes introduced for stable integration would include cell cycle control genes. In this case genes promoting division would be used in two ways during the overall process:

30 1. during the phase of resumption of division after protoplasting transient expression of the genes, or introduction of the proteins that they encode, would be used to reinitiate division;



2. additionally cell cycle genes introduced in DNA also containing a transposable element such as Ac and a selectable marker, such as neo, would be stably integrated and used to modify division activity in the plant after regeneration. The stably integrated cell division genes would confer improved  
5 cell division properties in selected tissues or environmental conditions.

Furthermore, there is the possibility that one part of the difficulty of inducing root nodules into cereals is the inability to induce the necessary localised cell division. In accordance with the present invention, additional copies of p34<sup>cdc2</sup>  
10 gene can, for example, be introduced into cereal crops from yeast and/or from plants. The introduced gene may be under the control of a constitutive promoter since higher levels of p34<sup>cdc2</sup> are not inhibitory to cell division where this has been tested in yeast. Inducible promoters may also be employed and induced only during the regeneration of transformed cells if there are  
15 unexpected difficulties from raising the basal level of p34<sup>cdc2</sup>. It is envisaged that plants with raised basal levels of p34<sup>cdc2</sup> or with levels raised at the time of infection with rhizobium would be more capable of forming nodules for fixation of nitrogen.

20 However, it may also be necessary to restrain plant cell proliferation. For example, it is sometimes the case that a finite amount of water is available following winter rain and it is advantageous to restrain plant growth so that water resources are not exhausted before the valuable portion of the crop has developed. Wheat sown after winter rain must set grain before soil water is  
25 exhausted. Vegetative plant growth and especially transpiration of water must be restrained. Chemical agents that reduce transpiration have been found to have persisting adverse side effects on subsequent growth. The present invention proposes that reduced expression or activity of p34<sup>cdc2</sup> could achieve reduced growth without toxic side effects. Reduced expression or activity of  
30 p34<sup>cdc2</sup> may be accomplished in a number of ways, as discussed above. In one such method, a dominant-acting dose-dependent gene that restrains the activity of p34<sup>cdc2</sup> may be used. These are the wee-1 and mik-1 genes of fission yeast.

It is contemplated that their controlled expression will be used to modulate crop growth to take account of water resources. This would necessitate introduction of wee-1 and/or mik-1 either constitutively to create dwarfing varieties or inducibly so that dwarfing could be induced by application of  
5 inducer if there was a threat of water becoming limiting.

The present invention is also useful in other ares of control of plant growth. For example, it is frequently the case that the final size of a plant organ is determined by cell number at a critical stage of development. This applies to  
10 many important crops such as cereals, beans and apples. The present invention can be employed to stimulate cell division at this stage to increase yield.

Additionally, stimulation of canopy growth to form a cover can reduce water  
15 loss by evaporation from soil wile plants remain dormant, so that more water is available when growth resumes in spring. This applies particularly to wheat sown in autumn.

Furthermore, it is desirable to promote root penetration of soil so that plants  
20 may take advantage of water that the farmer knows to be available below the surface. It is also desirable that shoot growth should not slow when water is limiting if the farmer is proposing future watering. The controls in plants produce a conservative restraint of growth at the first detection of water stress and in an agricultural situation this may limit yield. The present invention can  
25 be used to introduce copies of dominant-acting dose-dependent genes that stimulate division activity and act by influencing  $p34^{cdc2}$ . Two candidate genes are nim-1 and cdc 25. The other strategy is to introduce copies of mutant forms of  $cdc2$  that do not respond to restraining modulation of activity. The two mutant forms are cdc2-1w and cdc2-3w. For all three genes, expression  
30 would be most effective if it is induced at an appropriate stage of development. One possibility would be use the nitrate reductase promoter and to induce its expression by application of dilute nitrate-containing nutrient.

This nutrient would also beneficially support the additional growth induced. Other inducers could be exploited and all are encompassed by the present invention.

- 5 Cell cycle control genes can be employed to improve growth and development in the economically valuable portions of crop plants, including both dicotyledonous plants and monocotyledonous plants. In this second taxonomic group the additional transient use of cell cycle control genes or proteins, to improve their regenerability, would be advantageous, but the biolistic  
10 transformation of embryogenic callus would also be a possible route for introduction of the genes.

- The cell cycle control genes are employable to influence cell division behaviour at stages of development when cell number influences the final yield  
15 of economically valuable tissue. A specific example is the number of rounds of nuclear division at the multinucleate stage of endosperm development in cereal grains.

- The present invention is also directed to a transgenic plant or plant cell  
20 carrying an artificially controlled cell cycle protein such as p34<sup>cdc2</sup> or like molecule in monocotyledonous plants, which plants include wheat, barley, oats, maize, rice or other like crop. Such an artificial control includes introduction of high constitutive or inducible promoters, multiple gene repeats and other similar procedures and techniques.

- 25 With regard to the regeneration of monocotyledonous plants, one preferred embodiment is for monocotyledonous protoplasts to be stably transformed with any beneficial gene for expression in the regenerated plant (e.g. genes for resistance to fungi or insect pests or resistance to freezing, and genes  
30 modifying division behaviour) by, for example, electroporation, with two preparations of DNA. One would carry at least a gene encoding a p34<sup>cdc2</sup>-like molecule and perhaps additionally if necessary a cyclin-encoding gene, both

under the control of constitutively expressed promoters such as 35S or its composite pEMU and lacking both a transposon element that would encourage integration and also lacking a selectable marker that would enforce the persistence of this species of DNA. This DNA would persist long enough to  
5 promote a few rounds of division and lead to microcalli in which division will continue (as it does in tissues of dividing cells from monocots although it does not in single cells of monocots, as arise after protoplasting). The other DNA to be introduced simultaneously would contain a selectable marker and an Ac element adjacent to the gene(s) that will confer new desirable properties that  
10 are to be stably introduced and expressed in the regenerated plant. The genes for stable transformation would include those for disease and pest resistance but could also include genes for improved division behaviour as hereinbefore described. In the case of monocotyledonous plants, they would be expressed under the control of endosperm or leaf meristem specific promoters.

15

Micocalli are obtained alive by plating transformed cells onto solid medium that contains auxin hormones (2,4-D). Microalli are then transferred to selective medium (e.g. containing antibiotic of the kanamycin type) to kill non transformed clones. Surviving clones are transferred to medium containing  
20 auxin type hormones (2,4-D NAA) and cytokinin type hormones (kinetin, BAP) and ABA for shoot and root regeneration, then hardened off and planted out for breeding. This procedure has two advantages over the alternative procedure that works with some strains of maize and perhaps rice. The alternative procedure bombards clumps of cells (derived from  
25 embryogenic callus) in suspension culture and then attempts to kill cells that have received new genetic information because they lack resistance to kanamycin or related antibiotic, that resistance being co-introduced with the DNA carrying the genes to be stably integrated. It is difficult to kill all the cells in each clump that do not have the new DNA, therefore chimaeric plants  
30 result. In the procedure outlined above, however, cells are fully dispersed as individual protoplasts thus avoiding chimaeras. The second advantage is that protoplasts give a higher incidence of true stable integration into the nuclear

genome, rather than into satellite DNA, and therefore facilitates development of true breeding crops capable of transmitting the new characters to each generation.

- 5 The present invention is further described by reference to the following non-limiting Figures and Examples.

Figure 1 is a photographic representation showing the detection of p34<sup>cdc2</sup> homologue in a Western blot of proteins from the cell division region of wheat  
10 leaf by probing with EGV antibody. Antibody solution was divided and preincubated, (O) without addition, (V) with 20 nM EGV peptide EGVPSTAIRESILLKE, or (T) with 200 nM of altered peptide EGTPSTAIRESILMKE with substitutions at the third and fourteenth positions. The locations and sizes of markers are indicated on the right.

15

Figure 2 is a graphical representation showing the distribution of (A) leaf segments taken for analysis and (B) incidence of cells in the mitotic phase of division, in 90 mm long seedling leaves of wheat taken after 8 days of germination under conditions previously described. Segments 4 mm long were  
20 taken contiguously between 0 and 20 mm from the leaf base and above this at 10mm intervals. Placing of sample numbers in the diagram indicates the centre of each segment. Mitotic index was determined in samples fixed in 4:1 ethanol:acetic acid and stained in acetocarmine. A high incidence of division in the meristem region is indicated by detection of cells in this brief phase of  
25 the division cycle.

Figure 3 is a photographic representation depicting the changes during cell differentiation, in (A) stainable proteins and (B) level of p34<sup>cdc2</sup> homologue, detected in leaf segments cut as shown in figure 2A. Samples containing 50 µg  
30 of protein were separated by PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose and stained with Ponceau S, then p34<sup>cdc2</sup> was probed with affinity-purified EGV antibody and detected using <sup>125</sup>I-labelled anti-rabbit IgG F(ab')<sub>2</sub>.

Figure 4 is a graphical representation of the changes in amount of p34<sup>cdc2</sup> homologue and total protein during leaf cell development. (A) Level of p34<sup>cdc2</sup> protein in 50 µg samples of protein, (B) concentration of total protein in cells (total protein per gram tissue fresh mass), (C) average total protein content per cell, (D) amount of p34<sup>cdc2</sup> homologue per cell. Total protein in extracts made as in Figure 1 was estimated by dye-binding, after eightyfold dilution, using ovalbumin standard. Protein per cell was calculated by multiplying the protein concentration shown in B by the average cell fresh mass, which was derived from earlier measurements of cell number and fresh mass made in this laboratory under identical conditions (5) and was confirmed by measurement of cell dimensions in these samples. Quantification of p34<sup>cdc2</sup> homologue on Western blots was done by probing with EGV antibody and iodinated second antibody. Complete sets of samples were processed on single panels of nitrocellulose and are, therefore, directly comparable.

15

Figure 5 is a photographic representation showing localisation of p13<sup>suc1</sup> protein to the mitotic nucleus in live *Tradescantia* stamen hair cells.

The p13<sup>suc1</sup> protein was detected directly by excitation of a covalently linked fluorescent group (FLUOS). The protein was introduced by microinjection of a volume equal to 1% of cell volume containing 0.5 mg/ml protein in 100 mM KCl. The protein was authentic p13<sup>suc1</sup> encoded by the fission yeast *suc1* gene, over expressed in *E. coli* and purified to homogeneity before fluorescent labelling.

25

The p13<sup>suc1</sup> protein, which is required for control of the mitotic activity of p34<sup>cdc2</sup> in fission yeast, was seen to be concentrated in the nucleus as it enters prophase of mitosis. The bright nucleus is seen occupying a third of the upper part of the cell and dark chromosomes are seen against a background of p13<sup>suc1</sup> fluorescence.

30

Figure 6 is a photographic representation showing the localisation of p34<sup>cdc2</sup>-like protein in Zinnia root tip cell.

- 5 The protein was detected using affinity purified polyclonal antibody raised against the peptide EGVSTAIRESILLKE that has been perfectly conserved in all cell cycle control proteins related to p34<sup>cdc2</sup>. Affinity purification employed authentic p34<sup>cdc2</sup> overexpressed in E. coli.
- 10 A concentration of p34<sup>cdc2</sup>-like protein in the preprophase band (PPB) was detected (arrowed).

- Figure 7 is a graphical representation showing levels of p34<sup>cdc2</sup>-like protein (PSTAIR protein) during synchronous cell division in suspension cultures of
- 15 regenerable and non-regenerable Nicotiana plumbaginifolia cells. The regenerable cell line was capable of regenerating intact plants on transfer to solid media and the non-regenerable cells lost this ability during prolonged propagation in suspension culture. The two cell lines were grown in parallel and the protein samples from each were co-electrophoresed and levels of
- 20 p34<sup>cdc2</sup>-like protein estimated on the same Western blots.

In the pairs of samples taken at each time, that on the left derives from the non-regenerable cell line.

- 25 Each culture was sampled at intervals after release from an aphidicolin block that aligned cells at S phase and resulted in synchronous cell division on transfer to inhibitor-free medium. The non-regenerable cell line had constitutive levels of p34<sup>cdc2</sup>-like protein that were five times those of the regenerable cell line.

30

- Measurements of preprophase band frequency during the period 15 h to 23 h, when synchronous division was occurring in both cultures, showed that of 400 cells in the regenerable cell line 59 had phragmoplasts and 63 had preprophase bands (PPB) determining the location of the phragmoplast and future cross wall. In contrast, a much larger sample of 2000 cells from the non-regenerable cell line showed an absence of PPBs although detection of 292 phragmoplasts indicated that dividing cells were being sampled. Lack of PPBs indicates that degenerative changes during suspension culture have removed the mechanism for determining the plane of division and therefore the orientation in which new cells are formed. Such orientation is necessary for growth into a plant rather than a callus and its absence explains the non regenerability of this culture. A key feature of this invention is that it diagnoses an advantage in the controlled transient elevation of  $p34^{cdc2}$  activity in transformed cells. This will avoid the need for prolonged prior propagation of cells in suspension culture to obtain a capacity for resumption of division after protoplasting. The random elevation of  $p34^{cdc2}$  during suspension culture is unpredictable and usually leads to uncontrolled division and loss of capacity to regenerate plants.
- Figure 8 is a graphical representation showing levels of  $p34^{cdc2}$ -like protein in segments of seedling wheat leaf, measured after their transfer to solid medium containing 2,4-D for 14 days prior to assay.

- Leaves from axenically grown seedlings were segmented and the proteins were fractionated by SDS-PAGE and  $p34^{cdc2}$ -like protein was estimated by affinity purified antibody as previously described (11).

- Cells in tissue taken from the base of the leaf, which comprised the meristem cell division region, were stimulated by 2,4-D to continue synthesis of  $p34^{cdc2}$ -like protein and to continue division. Cells from elsewhere in the leaf had differentiated and were unresponsive to 2,4-D, did not synthesise  $p34^{cdc2}$ -like protein and did not divide. These mature cells survive protoplasting and can



regenerate a cell wall. They would be suitable for transformation if they could be stimulated to resume cell division by introduction of cell cycle genes for transient expression.

- 5 Figure 9 is a graphical representation showing a detection of p34<sup>cdc2</sup>-like protein in a Western blot of proteins extracted from carrot cotyledon explants after various days of 2,4-D-free (-) and 2,4-D-containing (+) media. (LS = Rubisco large sub-unit). Relative amounts of p34<sup>cdc2</sup>-like protein in explants on 2,4-D-containing (■-■) and 2,4-D-free (●-●) media after increasing amounts  
10 of time. Relative units given in Reference 12.

### EXAMPLE 1

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

##### 15 Plants

Plants were grown exactly as described in an earlier study in this laboratory (10).

##### Protein extraction, electrophoresis and blotting

- 20 Protein was extracted by grinding in liquid nitrogen and vigorous mixing at 0°C with RIPA buffer containing the detergent Tween 20 and inhibitors of protease and phosphatase (6). Samples containing 50 µg of protein were separated by SDS-PAGE on a 10-15% gradient gel. The proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose and probed with anti-EGVPSTAIRESLLKE antibody (EGV  
25 antibody) that has been previously described (6; 7) after affinity purification of the antibody against mouse p34<sup>cdc2</sup> fusion protein (8). Control purifications without p34<sup>cdc2</sup> gave no reacting antibody. Bound antibody was detected with alkaline phosphatase as previously described (6) or with <sup>125</sup>I-labelled anti-rabbit IgG F(ab')<sub>2</sub> at 0.5 mCi I<sup>-1</sup> (Amersham, IM1340) employing 24h  
30 autoradiographic exposure.

### Quantification of p34<sup>cdc2</sup> homologue

p34<sup>cdc2</sup> homologue was quantified on Western blots by probing with EGV antibody and iodinated second antibody. complete sets of samples were  
5 processed on single panels of nitrocellulose and are therefore directly comparable. Autoradiography was used to locate the p34 bands, which were then cut out for radioactive counting and corrected for the low background (seen in Figure 3B) that was measured in each track.

## EXAMPLE 2

10

### DETECTION OF CELL CYCLE CONTROL PROTEIN IN PLANT CELLS

#### Detection of p34<sup>cdc2</sup> homologue

Figure 1 shows that a homologue of p34<sup>cdc2</sup> was detected in extracts from the  
15 cell division region of wheat leaves using antibody raised against the EGVPTAIREISLLKE sequence (EGV peptide), which has been perfectly conserved in p34<sup>cdc2</sup> between yeasts and humans but not in other protein kinases. After affinity purification by binding to mammalian p34<sup>cdc2</sup>, the antibody recognised a wheat protein also of  $M_r$  34 x 10<sup>3</sup>. Recognition was  
20 specific for the conserved EGV peptide region since it was eliminated by competition with 20 nM EGV peptide (Figure 1). An analogous peptide encoded by the PH085 gene, which has similarities with *cdc2* but no effect on cell division (9), failed to compete, indicating that the EGV antibody recognised a configuration within the largest perfectly conserved region that is  
25 specific for cell cycle function.

#### Distribution in leaf

Segments were taken from base to tip of the seedling leaf (Figure 2A) and cell  
division was detected only in the lower 12mm (Figure 2B), which coincides  
30 with the region of thymidine incorporation into nuclear DNA. The changes in abundance of proteins during differentiation (Figure 3A) are illustrated by the appearance of the  $M_r$  55 x 10<sup>3</sup> subunit of RUBISCO beyond 28 mm from the

base, which correlates with the increase in chloroplast number and initiation of photosynthesis. The electrophoretic mobility of p34<sup>cdc2</sup> homologue did not coincide with that of any of the abundant proteins and its level relative to that of other proteins declined sharply outside the region of active cell division (Figure 3B). This decline in relative level, quantified in figure 4A, is due to accumulation of other proteins as differentiating cells increase in size, in protein concentration (Figure 4B) and, therefore, in total protein content (Figure 4C). The amount of p34<sup>cdc2</sup> homologue per cell (Figure 4D) was derived by using protein content per cell to indicate how many cells yielded the protein that was probed in Figures 3B and 4A. No significant net breakdown of p34<sup>cdc2</sup> occurred during differentiation and the 94% relative decline is accounted for by cessation of its accumulation while extensive synthesis of other proteins occurs during differentiation.

15

### EXAMPLE 3

#### INTERACTION BETWEEN P34<sup>cdc2</sup> AND P13<sup>suc1</sup>

Plant proteins considered as being like the cell cycle control protein of p34<sup>cdc2</sup> are functionally very similar to the yeast p34<sup>cdc2</sup> protein. The significance of this is that there is conclusive genetical evidence in yeasts for the cell division control functions of p34<sup>cdc2</sup> and the similarity of the plant proteins therefore supports the probability that similar division control functions reside in them.

Such evidence includes:

25

- a) Plant p34<sup>cdc2</sup>-like protein, that has the same size of 34kDa and contains the amino acid sequence EGVSTAIRESLLKE (PSTAIR) recognised by antibody, also binds to the yeast p13<sup>suc1</sup> subunit that binds to authentic yeast p34<sup>cdc2</sup>. The bound plant protein has protein kinase activity directed against H1 histone that does not require calcium or cyclic AMP and in these respects is identical with authentic yeast p34<sup>cdc2</sup>.

30

- b) Plants contain a homologue of the  $p13^{suc1}$  that is the same size as the protein from fission yeast and is recognised by antibody against yeast  $p13^{suc1}$ . Points (a) and (b) together indicate that the plant  $p34^{cdc2}$  retains the same capacity for interaction with a regulatory protein as does the yeast enzyme.  $p13^{suc1}$  is necessary in yeast for growth and for completion of anaphase in mitosis. The implication of the presence of a plant protein with homology to  $p13^{suc1}$  and of the binding of plant  $p34^{cdc2}$ -like protein to authentic  $p13^{suc1}$  is that plant  $p34^{cdc2}$  is regulated by interaction with other proteins of the network that was first characterised in yeasts as we proposed in our patent.

These results are disclosed in John et al (11) which is herein incorporated by reference.

#### EXAMPLE 4

This example is directed to the study of whether (i) the intracellular locations of proteins that interact with  $p34^{cdc2}$  and (ii) the intracellular location of  $p34^{cdc2}$  relative to potential target proteins, is consistent with function of  $p34^{cdc2}$  in the plant cell cycle.

- a) The 13kDa protein  $p13^{suc1}$  of the fission yeast has been over expressed in *E. coli*, purified to homogeneity, labelled covalently with the fluorescent dye 5(6)-carboxyfluorescein-N-hydroxysuccinimidester and microinjected at 0.5mg/ml into live *Tradescantia* stamen hair cells that were actively dividing. The protein becomes concentrated in the nucleus at prophase of mitosis (Figure 5), which is consistent with the proposal that  $p34^{cdc2}$  functions at mitosis in plants and is regulated by association with regulatory subunits such as  $p13^{suc1}$ .

- b) The location of p34<sup>cdc2</sup>-like protein has been determined by antibody binding and immunofluorescence microscopy in dividing plant cells. The protein is located in the cytoplasm and nucleus but at early mitoses becomes localised at a major determinant of the orientation of division, which is a cytoskeletal structure called the pre-prophase band (PPB) of microtubules (see Figure 6). The PPB marks the site of the orientation of the cross wall that separates the new (daughter) cells and hence determines the direction in which growth occurs. Regulatable p34<sup>cdc2</sup> levels and capacity to form PPBs are both key elements in the capacity to regenerate plants from cultured cells. Such regeneration is necessary if introduced genetic information is to be exploited in crop improvement. The dual requirement for p34<sup>cdc2</sup> levels and capacity to form PPBs are both key elements in the capacity to regenerate plants from cultured cells. Such regeneration is necessary if introduced genetic information is to be exploited in crop improvement. The dual requirement for p34<sup>cdc2</sup> regulation and PPB formation is emphasised by the co-location of the two elements.
- 20 A related line of investigation has sought to establish whether other proteins that are necessary for p34<sup>cdc2</sup> function in other kingdoms are present in plants. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) techniques were used to amplify regions of plant genes that are homologous with cell cycle control genes. In wheat, genetic information was detected that can encode a p34<sup>cdc2</sup> like protein and also a protein like the G class of cyclins that complex with p34<sup>cdc2</sup> in G1 phase and have been suggested to activate its functions in the G1 phase of the cell cycle. The presence of this portion of a G1 cyclin gene and the potential for using it to clone monocotyledonous cyclins using the PCR amplified fragment as a probe is significant since monocotyledonous cells commonly arrest division in G1 phase of the cell cycle and a suitable cyclin will assist in stimulating division.

Another approach has been to directly investigate the molecular basis of the loss of regenerability that occurs in suspension cultures of plant cells.

Prolonged culture of plant cells in suspension involves repeated dilution with  
5 fresh medium and the gradual predominance of cells that divide more rapidly  
under those unnatural conditions. The inventors observed in suspension  
cultures of Nicotiana plumbaginifolia that the continued selection for faster  
dividing cells that unavoidably occurs each time the culture is diluted results in  
increased levels of p34<sup>cdc2</sup> and loss of pre prophase bands (detailed in Figure  
10 7).

The restraint of cell division was investigated in mature cereal leaf cells is due  
to failure to induce the key cell division protein p34<sup>cdc2</sup>. Although tissue was  
taken from the actively dividing (meristem) region, when cultured axenically on  
15 medium containing 2,4-D, cells retain p34<sup>cdc2</sup>-like protein at levels capable of  
supporting division (see Figure 9), whereas tissue taken from elsewhere in the  
leaf containing mature cells with low levels of p34<sup>cdc2</sup>-like protein was unable  
to raise the levels of p34<sup>cdc2</sup> (see Figure 8) and was unable to initiate cell  
division. The meristem tissue that readily continues cell division is unsuitable  
20 as a source for transformation because they yield protoplasts that do not  
regenerate a cell wall and so are unable to resume cell division after  
introduction of genetic material. Mature cells can regenerate a cell wall but a  
major restraint of division in mature cells, if they have not been subject to  
prolonged suspension culture, is their retention of low levels of p34<sup>cdc2</sup> protein.

25

## REFERENCES:

1. Nurse, P. and Bisset, Y. Nature 292: 558-560, 1981.
2. Nurse, P. and Fantes, P. The Cell Cycle pp85-98, 1981.
3. Russell, P. and Nurse, P. Cell 49: 569-576, 1987.
4. Moreno, S., Nurse, P. and Russell, P. Nature 344: 549-552, 1990.
5. Wernicke, W and Milkovits, L. Physiologia Pl. 69 23-28, 1987b.
6. John, P.C.L., Sek, F.J. and Lee, G.M. Plant Cell 1: 1185-1193, 1989.
7. Lee, M.G. and Nurse, P. Nature 327: 31-35, 1987.
8. Snyder, M., Elledge, S., Sweetser, D., Young, R.A. and Davis, R.W. Meth. Enzym. 154: 107-128, 1987.
9. Toh-E, A., Tanaka, K., Uesono, Y. and Wickner, R.B. Saccharomyces Cerevisiae. Molec. Gen. Genet. 214: 162-164, 1988.
10. Wernicke, W. and Milkovits, L. Physiologia Pl. 69: 16-22, 1987.
11. John, P.C.L., Sek, F.J. and Hayles, J. Protoplasma 161: 70-74, 1991.
12. Gorst, J.R., Sek, F.J. and John, P.C.L. Planta 185: 304-310, 1991.

## CLAIMS:

1. A method for controlling plant cell growth comprising modulating the level and/or catalytic activity of a cell cycle control protein in said plant cell for a time and under conditions sufficient to modify or control cell division.
2. The method according to claim 1 wherein the cell cycle control protein is p34<sup>cdc2</sup> or like molecule.
3. The method according to claim 1 or 2, wherein the cell cycle protein is, or is controlled by, p13<sup>suc1</sup>, cyclin or products of nim-1, wee-1 or mik-1 genes.
4. The method according to claim 1 or 2 or 3 wherein the plant cell belongs to a monocotyledonous plant or dicotyledonous plant.
5. The method according to claim 4 wherein said monocotyledonous plant is wheat, barley, oats, maize, rice or other like crop.
6. The method according to any one of the preceding claims wherein the modification or control of cell division is transient.
7. A method for maintaining, enhancing or otherwise facilitating plant cell division said method comprising increasing the level and/or catalytic activity of a cell cycle control protein in said plant cell for a time and under conditions sufficient to permit cell division.
8. The method according to claim 7 wherein the cell cycle control protein is p34<sup>cdc2</sup> or like molecule.




9. The method according to claim 6, wherein the cell cycle protein is, or is controlled by, p13<sup>suc1</sup>, cyclin or products of nim-1, wee-1 or mik-1 genes.
10. The method according to claim 8 or 9 wherein the plant cell belongs to a monocotyledonous plant or dicotyledonous plant.
11. The method according to claim 10 wherein said monocotyledonous plant is wheat, barley, oats, maize, rice or other like crop.
12. The method according to claim 2 or 8 wherein the level of p34<sup>cdc2</sup> activity is controlled directly or indirectly by the level of p13<sup>suc1</sup>, wee-1, mik-1, nim-1 and/or cdc25.
13. A method for enhancing or promoting regeneration of a plant from one or more plant cells or protoplasts from said one or more plant cells, said method comprising elevating levels and/or catalytic activators of a cell cycle protein in said cells or protoplasts for a time and under conditions sufficient to allow the regeneration of a plant.
14. The method according to claim 13 wherein the cell cycle protein is p34<sup>cdc2</sup> or like molecule.
15. The method according to claim 13 where the cell cycle protein is, or is controlled by, p13<sup>suc1</sup>, cyclin, cdc25 or products of nim-1, wee-1 or mik-1 genes.
16. The method according to claim 13 or 14 wherein the plant cell or protoplast is from a monocotyledonous plant or dicotyledonous plant.
17. The method according to claim 16 wherein the monocotyledonous plant is wheat, barley, oat, maize, rice or other like crop.

18. A transgenic plant or plant cell carrying an artificially controlled cell cycle protein.
19. The transgenic plant or plant cell according to claim 18 wherein the cell cycle protein is p34<sup>cdc2</sup> or like molecule.
20. The transgenic plant or plant cell according to claim 19 further comprising one or more of p13<sup>suc1</sup>, cyclin, cdc25, nim-1, wee-1 or mik-1 gene.
21. The transgenic plant or plant cell according to claim 18 or 19 or 20 wherein the plant is a monocotyledonous plant or dicotyledonous plant.
22. The transgenic plant or plant cell according to claim 21 wherein the monocotyledonous plant is wheat, barley, oat, maize, rice or other like crop.
23. A method for modifying plant growth behaviour in the presence of one or more environmental conditions, said method comprising modulating the level and/or catalytic activity of a cell cycle protein in one or more plant cells capable of division in said plant for a time and under conditions sufficient for said plant to modify its growth.
24. The method according to claim 23 wherein the cell cycle protein is p34<sup>cdc2</sup> or like molecule.
25. The method according to claim 23 wherein the cell cycle protein is, or controlled by, p13<sup>suc1</sup>, cyclin, cdc25, or products of nim-1, wee-1 or mik-1 genes.
26. The method according to claim 23 or 24 or 25 wherein the plant is a monocotyledonous plant or dicotyledonous plant.


27. The method according to claim 26 wherein said monocotyledonous plant is wheat, barley, maize, rice or other like plant.
28. The method according to claim 23 wherein the environmental condition comprises one or more of increased temperature, exposure to disease or excess or deficiency of water or other nutrient.
29. A method for regenerating a plant from a protoplast stably transformed with a beneficial gene for expression in said regenerated plant comprising permeating said protoplast with a first DNA encoding a cell cycle protein and a second DNA encoding a selectable marker and an Ac element adjacent to the beneficial gene, culturing said permeated protoplasts on solid medium to provide microcalli and then culturing said microcalli for shoot and root regeneration.
30. The method according to claim 29 wherein the beneficial gene encodes resistance to fungi or insect pests, resistance to freezing and/or to modify cell division.
31. The method according to claim 29 or 30 where the cell cycle protein is p34<sup>cdc2</sup> or like molecule.
32. The method according to claim 29 wherein the first DNA additionally contains a gene encoding a product which controls the cell cycle protein.
33. The method according to claim 32 wherein the cell cycle protein is, or is controlled by , p13<sup>suc1</sup>, cyclin, cdc25 or products of rim-1, wee-1 or mik-1 genes.

34. The method according to any one of claims 29 to 33 wherein the plant is a monocotyledonous plant.
35. The method according to claim 29 whereas the permeation is by electroporation.
36. The method according to claim 29 whereas the cell cycle protein is constitutively expressed.

1/6



O V T

*Fig. 1*

LEAF SEGMENT NUMBER

*Fig. 3*

SUBSTITUTE SHEET

2/6




Fig. 2A.




Fig. 2B




Fig. 8.

3/6

$p34^{cdc2}$  HOMOLOGUE  
PER 50  $\mu$ g PROTEIN  
(DISINTS 10 MIN<sup>-1</sup>)




Fig. 4A.

PROTEIN PER TISSUE  
FRESH WEIGHT  
(mg g<sup>-1</sup> FRESH MASS)




Fig. 4B.

PROTEIN PER CELL  
(pg)




Fig. 4C.

$p34^{cdc2}$  PER CELL  
(RELATIVE UNITS)





Fig. 4D.

4/6



*Fig. 5*



*Fig. 6*

UBSTITUTE SHEET



5/6




Fig.7.

6/6




Fig. 9A




Fig. 9B

SUBSTITUTE SHEET

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

<b>I. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER</b> (if several classification symbols apply, indicate all) <sup>6</sup>				
According to International Patent classification (IPC) or to both National Classification and IPC Int. Cl. <sup>5</sup> C12N 5/00, 5/02, 5/04, 15/29; A01H 4/00.				
<b>II. FIELDS SEARCHED</b>				
Minimum Documentation Searched <sup>7</sup>				
Classification System	Classification Symbols			
IPC <sup>5</sup>	Derwent data base: WPAT, chem abstracts using keywords: plant cell, division, regulation, control, cell cycle.			
Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the extent that such Documents are included in the Fields Searched <sup>8</sup>				
AU:C12N, 15/29, 5/00, 5/02, 5/04 Biotechnology Database using keywords : plant cell division, regulation, control, cell cycle, cdc 25, Nim- 1, p34, wee-1, mik-1, p13, cyclin.				
<b>III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT <sup>9</sup></b>				
Category <sup>*</sup>	Citation of Document, <sup>11</sup> with indication, where appropriate of the relevant passages <sup>12</sup>	Relevant to Claim No <sup>13</sup>		
X	EP,A1,240208 (Calgene Inc.) 7 October 1987 (07.10.87) See especially pages 2 and 4.	1, 4, 5, 7, 10, 11, 13, 16-18, 21-23, 26-28.		
X	AU-A1-33589/89 (Max-Planck Gesellschaft zur Forderung der Wissenschaften). 5 October 1989 (05.10.89). See especially pages 4-6.	1, 4, 13, 16, 18, 21.		
X	AU-A1-42103/89 (Calgene Inc) 8 March 1990 (08.03.90) See whole document.	1, 4, 5, 7, 10, 11 13, 16-18, 21-23, 26-28.		
(continued)				
<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top;"> <p><sup>*</sup> Special categories of cited documents : <sup>10</sup></p> <p>"A" Document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</p> <p>"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date</p> <p>"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</p> <p>"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</p> <p>"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</p> </td> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top;"> <p>"T" Later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</p> <p>"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step</p> <p>"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art</p> <p>"&amp;" document member of the same patent family</p> </td> </tr> </table>			<p><sup>*</sup> Special categories of cited documents : <sup>10</sup></p> <p>"A" Document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</p> <p>"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date</p> <p>"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</p> <p>"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</p> <p>"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</p>	<p>"T" Later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</p> <p>"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step</p> <p>"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art</p> <p>"&amp;" document member of the same patent family</p>
<p><sup>*</sup> Special categories of cited documents : <sup>10</sup></p> <p>"A" Document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</p> <p>"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date</p> <p>"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</p> <p>"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</p> <p>"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</p>	<p>"T" Later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</p> <p>"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step</p> <p>"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art</p> <p>"&amp;" document member of the same patent family</p>			
<b>IV. CERTIFICATION</b>				
Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 18 March 1992	Date of Mailing of this International Search Report 24 March 1992 (24.03.92)			
International Searching Authority  <b>AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE</b>	Signature of Authorized Officer  J.H. CHAN			

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM THE SECOND SHEET		
PX	Plant Physiol, Vol 96, (1991) A. Schmidt et al: "Peptidyl proline hydroxylation and the growth of a soybean culture". See pages 656-659.	1, 4, 23, 26.
A	Plant Molecular Biology, Vol 17 (1991), H.S. Feiler and T.W. Jacobs: "Cloning of the pea cdc 2 homologue by efficient immunological screening of PCR products". See pages 321-333.	
A	Proc. Natl. Acad Sci. USA; Vol 87, (1990), H.S. Feiler and T.W. Jacobs: "Cell division in higher plants: A cdc 2 gene, its 34 kDa product and histone H1 Kinase activity in pea". See pages 5397-5401.	
(continued)		

V. ☐ OBSERVATIONS WHERE CERTAIN CLAIMS WERE FOUND UNSEARCHABLE <sup>1</sup>

This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☐ Claim numbers ..., because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. ☐ Claim numbers ..., because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
3. ☐ Claim numbers ..., because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of PCT Rule 6.4a

VI. ☐ OBSERVATIONS WHERE UNITY OF INVENTION IS LACKING <sup>2</sup>

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application as follows:

1. ☐ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims of the international application.
2. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims of the international application for which fees were paid, specifically claims:
3. ☐ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claim numbers:
4. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, the International Searching Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.

Remark on Protest

- ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by applicant's protest.
- ☐ No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

## III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT (CONTINUED FROM THE SECOND SHEET)

Category*	Citation of Document, <sup>11</sup> with indication, where appropriate of the relevant passages <sup>12</sup>	Relevant to Claim No <sup>13</sup>
A	<p>Proc. Natl. Acad Sci.: USA, Vol 86, (1989), I. Suzuka et al: "Gene for proliferating - cell nuclear antigen (DNA polymerase <math>\delta</math> auxillary protein) is present in both mammalian and higher plant genomes". See pages 3189-3193.</p>	

**ANNEX TO THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT ON**  
**INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO. PCT/AU 91/00556**

This Annex lists the known "A" publication level patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The Australian Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

Patent Document Cited in Search Report		Patent Family Member					
AU	33589/89	BR	8906478	DE	3810286	DK	5943/89
		EP	334383	EP	362349	HU	52159
		WO	8909262	ZA	8902206		
EP	240208	EP	458367	JP	62296880	NZ	219472
		US	4801540	AU	70597/87	CN	87102348
AU	42103/89	EP	429538	WO	9002172		

END OF ANNEX